

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/670,600	09/26/2003	Hisao Kato	07057.0053	9894
7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.			HAILEY, PATRICIA L	
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20005-3315		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>[</del>
	10/670,600	KATO, HISAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Hailey	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate		th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatory of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 Meron.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a realion.  Sys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB the mailing date of this communication, even if the mailing date of this communication.  This action is non-final.  Callowance except for formal mattern der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  Cation.	ONTH(S) FROM  reply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  mely filed, may reduce any	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/8 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/03.	18) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1755

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Applicants' Priority Document was filed by Applicants on September 26, 2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Auer et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,066,410).

Auer et al. teach a platinum/ruthenium alloy catalyst that includes finely dispersed alloy particles on a powdery, electrically conductive carrier material (Abstract; col. 1, lines 6-10 of Auer et al.).

The catalyst is prepared by applying the alloy particles to the carrier in highly dispersed form, e.g., precipitation via impregnation with the aid of preformed surfactant-stabilized platinum/ruthenium alloy colloids at a temperature maintained between 20 and 110°C, followed by washing with appropriate solvents (which are removed by filtration or distillation), and removal of the stabilizing surfactant via calcination, thereby simultaneously activating the catalyst.

Art Unit: 1755

Calcination is performed at temperatures between 200 and 400°C under inert gas. See col. 4, line 18 to col. 5, line 29 of Auer et al., especially col. 5, lines 18-29.

The catalyst of Auer et al. can be used to prepare various components of fuel cells, such as gas diffusion electrodes. See col. 5, lines 30-58 of Auer et al.

Auer et al. is silent with respect to any oxygen being present in Patentees' catalyst. Because Applicants' claims recite oxygen contents of, for example, "4.4 wt% or less", the catalyst of Auer et al. is considered to inherently read upon these claim limitations. Further, because the preparation of the catalyst of Auer et al. as discussed above involves maintaining the platinum/ruthenium alloy colloids at a temperature between 20 and 110°C, as well as calcining the catalyst under inert gas at temperatures between 200 and 400°C, Applicants' claimed "oxygen content regulating step" and "supporting step" as recited in claims 3-6 are considered inherently taught by Auer et al.

In view of these teachings, Auer et al. anticipate claims 1-6.

4. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1755

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,649,300).

Ito et al. teach an electrode catalyst for fuel cells, said catalyst comprising a conductive carbon, platinum supported on the conductive carbon, and oxygen bonded chemically to the conductive carbon. The oxygen is present in an amount corresponding to an atomic ration of oxygen:platinum of 0.7 to 3. See col. 3, lines 21-27 of Ito et al.

The electrode catalyst is obtained by adding a solution of platinum compounds to a slurry containing a conductive carbon, allowing the platinum compounds to react with a reagent to form fine colloidal particles of hydroxoplatinate, and allowing the colloidal particles to deposit on the conductive

Art Unit: 1755

carbon surface, and wet-reducing the hydroxoplatinate in the slurry by the use of a reducing agent. After the reduction has completed, the slurry may be filtered, washed, and dried by conventional methods. See col. 5, line 5 to col. 6, line 8 of Ito et al.

The platinum present in the electrode catalyst may also be alloyed with "counterpart metal component" metals such as ruthenium to produce a supported platinum alloy electrode catalyst. See col. 6, lines 29-34 and lines 57-59 of Ito et al.

The supported platinum alloy electrode catalyst is produced by forming a precursor comprising the platinum supported on the conductive carbon, as discussed above, followed by supporting thereon the "counterpart metal component", followed by reduction treatment to alloy the platinum and counterpart metal component, said reduction effected by making heat treatment in a reducing atmosphere or in an inert gas, usually under temperatures from 200 to 1000°C. See col. 7, lines 1-25 of Ito et al. This disclosure is considered to read upon Applicants' claimed method steps as recited in claims 3-8.

The supported platinum alloy electrode catalyst can be used in fuel cells. See col. 7, lines 26-31 of Ito et al.

Tables 1 and 2 of Ito et al. depict exemplary and comparative catalysts, having oxygen contents reading upon Applicants' claimed ranges of "4.4 wt% or less" and "14.1% or less".

Art Unit: 1755

Although Ito et al. do not provide any specific examples of a platinum/ruthenium alloy, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ ruthenium as a "counterpart metal component" in producing Patentees' supported platinum alloy electrode catalyst, as disclosed by Ito et al. at col. 6, lines 38-63, and, in doing so, obtaining a platinum alloy electrode catalyst reading upon Applicants' claims in their present form.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Hailey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1369. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 1700 Receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Hailey/plh

Examiner, Art Unit 1755

August 4, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700